

APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES PATENT

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PREVENTING DETECTION OF A  
COMPUTER CONNECTION TO AN EXTERNAL DEVICE**

By Inventors:

Michael P. Lyle  
2844 Buena Knoll Court  
San Jose, CA 95121  
A Citizen of the United States

Robert F. Ross  
151 Calderon #334  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
A Citizen of the United States

James R. Maricondo  
872 Ames Court  
Palo Alto, CA 94303  
A Citizen of the United States

Assignee: Recourse Technologies, Inc.

RITTER, VAN PELT AND YI, LLP  
4906 El Camino Real Suite 205  
Los Altos, CA 94022  
Telephone (650) 903-3500

00416469-071400

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PREVENTING DETECTION OF A  
COMPUTER CONNECTION TO AN EXTERNAL DEVICE**

**CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No.

5 60/143,821 entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR COMPUTER SECURITY" filed  
July 14, 1999, which is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes, and U.S.  
Provisional Patent Application No. 60/151,531 entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
PROVIDING COMPUTER SECURITY" filed August 30, 1999, which is incorporated  
herein by reference for all purposes.

10 *sub  
a1* ~~This application is related to co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_~~  
(Attorney Docket No. RECOP001) entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD  
FOR COMPUTER SECURITY filed concurrently herewith, which is incorporated herein  
by reference for all purposes; and co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
(Attorney Docket No. RECOP002) entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
15 GENERATING FICTITIOUS CONTENT FOR A COMPUTER filed concurrently  
herewith, which is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes; and co-pending U.S.  
Patent Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ (Attorney Docket No. RECOP003) entitled  
SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PREVENTING DETECTION OF A SELECTED  
PROCESS RUNNING ON A COMPUTER filed concurrently herewith, which is  
20 ~~incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.~~

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to computers. More specifically, a system and method for preventing detection of a computer connection to an external device is disclosed.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5  
5b  
5c  
5d  
5e  
5f  
5g  
5h  
5i  
5j  
5k  
5l  
5m  
5n  
5o  
5p  
5q  
5r  
5s  
5t  
5u  
5v  
5w  
5x  
5y  
5z  
5aa  
5ab  
5ac  
5ad  
5ae  
5af  
5ag  
5ah  
5ai  
5aj  
5ak  
5al  
5am  
5an  
5ao  
5ap  
5aq  
5ar  
5as  
5at  
5au  
5av  
5aw  
5ax  
5ay  
5az  
5ba  
5bb  
5bc  
5bd  
5be  
5bf  
5bg  
5bh  
5bi  
5bj  
5bk  
5bl  
5bm  
5bn  
5bo  
5bp  
5bq  
5br  
5bs  
5bt  
5bu  
5bv  
5bw  
5bx  
5by  
5bz  
5ca  
5cb  
5cc  
5cd  
5ce  
5cf  
5cg  
5ch  
5ci  
5cj  
5ck  
5cl  
5cm  
5cn  
5co  
5cp  
5cq  
5cr  
5cs  
5ct  
5cu  
5cv  
5cw  
5cx  
5cy  
5cz  
5da  
5db  
5dc  
5dd  
5de  
5df  
5dg  
5dh  
5di  
5dj  
5dk  
5dl  
5dm  
5dn  
5do  
5dp  
5dq  
5dr  
5ds  
5dt  
5du  
5dv  
5dw  
5dx  
5dy  
5dz  
5ea  
5eb  
5ec  
5ed  
5ee  
5ef  
5eg  
5eh  
5ei  
5ej  
5ek  
5el  
5em  
5en  
5eo  
5ep  
5eq  
5er  
5es  
5et  
5eu  
5ev  
5ew  
5ex  
5ey  
5ez  
5fa  
5fb  
5fc  
5fd  
5fe  
5ff  
5fg  
5fh  
5fi  
5fj  
5fk  
5fl  
5fm  
5fn  
5fo  
5fp  
5fq  
5fr  
5fs  
5ft  
5fu  
5fv  
5fw  
5fx  
5fy  
5fz  
5ga  
5gb  
5gc  
5gd  
5ge  
5gf  
5gg  
5gh  
5gi  
5gj  
5gk  
5gl  
5gm  
5gn  
5go  
5gp  
5gq  
5gr  
5gs  
5gt  
5gu  
5gv  
5gw  
5gx  
5gy  
5gz  
5ha  
5hb  
5hc  
5hd  
5he  
5hf  
5hg  
5hh  
5hi  
5hj  
5hk  
5hl  
5hm  
5hn  
5ho  
5hp  
5hq  
5hr  
5hs  
5ht  
5hu  
5hv  
5hw  
5hx  
5hy  
5hz  
5ia  
5ib  
5ic  
5id  
5ie  
5if  
5ig  
5ih  
5ii  
5ij  
5ik  
5il  
5im  
5in  
5io  
5ip  
5iq  
5ir  
5is  
5it  
5iu  
5iv  
5iw  
5ix  
5iy  
5iz  
5ja  
5jb  
5jc  
5jd  
5je  
5jf  
5jg  
5jh  
5ji  
5jj  
5jk  
5jl  
5jm  
5jn  
5jo  
5jp  
5jq  
5jr  
5js  
5jt  
5ju  
5jv  
5jw  
5jx  
5jy  
5jz  
5ka  
5kb  
5kc  
5kd  
5ke  
5kf  
5kg  
5kh  
5ki  
5kj  
5kk  
5kl  
5km  
5kn  
5ko  
5kp  
5kq  
5kr  
5ks  
5kt  
5ku  
5kv  
5kw  
5kx  
5ky  
5kz  
5la  
5lb  
5lc  
5ld  
5le  
5lf  
5lg  
5lh  
5li  
5lj  
5lk  
5ll  
5lm  
5ln  
5lo  
5lp  
5lq  
5lr  
5ls  
5lt  
5lu  
5lv  
5lw  
5lx  
5ly  
5lz  
5ma  
5mb  
5mc  
5md  
5me  
5mf  
5mg  
5mh  
5mi  
5mj  
5mk  
5ml  
5mm  
5mn  
5mo  
5mp  
5mq  
5mr  
5ms  
5mt  
5mu  
5mv  
5mw  
5mx  
5my  
5mz  
5na  
5nb  
5nc  
5nd  
5ne  
5nf  
5ng  
5nh  
5ni  
5nj  
5nk  
5nl  
5nm  
5nn  
5no  
5np  
5nq  
5nr  
5ns  
5nt  
5nu  
5nv  
5nw  
5nx  
5ny  
5nz  
5oa  
5ob  
5oc  
5od  
5oe  
5of  
5og  
5oh  
5oi  
5oj  
5ok  
5ol  
5om  
5on  
5oo  
5op  
5oq  
5or  
5os  
5ot  
5ou  
5ov  
5ow  
5ox  
5oy  
5oz  
5pa  
5pb  
5pc  
5pd  
5pe  
5pf  
5pg  
5ph  
5pi  
5pj  
5pk  
5pl  
5pm  
5pn  
5po  
5pp  
5pq  
5pr  
5ps  
5pt  
5pu  
5pv  
5pw  
5px  
5py  
5pz  
5qa  
5qb  
5qc  
5qd  
5qe  
5qf  
5qg  
5qh  
5qi  
5qj  
5qk  
5ql  
5qm  
5qn  
5qo  
5qp  
5qq  
5qr  
5qs  
5qt  
5qu  
5qv  
5qw  
5qx  
5qy  
5qz  
5ra  
5rb  
5rc  
5rd  
5re  
5rf  
5rg  
5rh  
5ri  
5rj  
5rk  
5rl  
5rm  
5rn  
5ro  
5rp  
5rq  
5rr  
5rs  
5rt  
5ru  
5rv  
5rw  
5rx  
5ry  
5rz  
5sa  
5sb  
5sc  
5sd  
5se  
5sf  
5sg  
5sh  
5si  
5sj  
5sk  
5sl  
5sm  
5sn  
5so  
5sp  
5sq  
5sr  
5ss  
5st  
5su  
5sv  
5sw  
5sx  
5sy  
5sz  
5ta  
5tb  
5tc  
5td  
5te  
5tf  
5tg  
5th  
5ti  
5tj  
5tk  
5tl  
5tm  
5tn  
5to  
5tp  
5tq  
5tr  
5ts  
5tt  
5tu  
5tv  
5tw  
5tx  
5ty  
5tz  
5ua  
5ub  
5uc  
5ud  
5ue  
5uf  
5ug  
5uh  
5ui  
5uj  
5uk  
5ul  
5um  
5un  
5uo  
5up  
5uq  
5ur  
5us  
5ut  
5uu  
5uv  
5uw  
5ux  
5uy  
5uz  
5va  
5vb  
5vc  
5vd  
5ve  
5vf  
5vg  
5vh  
5vi  
5vj  
5vk  
5vl  
5vm  
5vn  
5vo  
5vp  
5vq  
5vr  
5vs  
5vt  
5vu  
5vv  
5vw  
5vx  
5vy  
5vz  
5wa  
5wb  
5wc  
5wd  
5we  
5wf  
5wg  
5wh  
5wi  
5wj  
5wk  
5wl  
5wm  
5wn  
5wo  
5wp  
5wq  
5wr  
5ws  
5wt  
5wu  
5wv  
5ww  
5wx  
5wy  
5wz  
5xa  
5xb  
5xc  
5xd  
5xe  
5xf  
5xg  
5xh  
5xi  
5xj  
5xk  
5xl  
5xm  
5xn  
5xo  
5xp  
5xq  
5xr  
5xs  
5xt  
5xu  
5xv  
5xw  
5xx  
5xy  
5xz  
5ya  
5yb  
5yc  
5yd  
5ye  
5yf  
5yg  
5yh  
5yi  
5yj  
5yk  
5yl  
5ym  
5yn  
5yo  
5yp  
5yq  
5yr  
5ys  
5yt  
5yu  
5yv  
5yw  
5yx  
5yy  
5yz  
5za  
5zb  
5zc  
5zd  
5ze  
5zf  
5zg  
5zh  
5zi  
5zj  
5zk  
5zl  
5zm  
5zn  
5zo  
5zp  
5zq  
5zr  
5zs  
5zt  
5zu  
5zv  
5zw  
5zx  
5zy  
5zz

~~Computers and networks of computers, such as local area networks (LAN) and wide area networks (WAN), are used by many businesses and other organizations to enable employees and other authorized users to access information, create and edit files, and communicate with one another, such as by e-mail, among other uses. Often, such networks are connected or are capable of being connected to computers that are not part of the network, such as by modem or via the Internet. In such cases, the network becomes vulnerable to attacks by unauthorized users, such as so-called computer “hackers”, who may be able to gain unauthorized access to files store on network computers by using ports or connections provided to connect the network to computers outside of the network.~~

One known technique for foiling an attacker seeking to gain unauthorized access to a computer or computer network is a so-called “honey pot.” A honey pot, in computer security parlance, is a computer system containing a set of files that are designed to lure a computer hacker or other attacker to access the files, such as by making it seem like the files are particularly important or interesting. Since the honey pot files are typically not

actually working files, any activity in the honey pot files is suspicious and an attempt is made to identify and locate any user who accesses or attempts to access the files.

The major shortcoming of the honey pot approach is that by the time the attacker has accessed the honey pot files, the attacker has already gained access to the computer  
5 containing the files. The attacker also has access to other files on the same computer, and may be able to access other computers in the same computer network. There is typically no mechanism for restricting the hacker to viewing only the honey pot files.

A second known approach is to provide a deception server. A deception server contains false data. A router or firewall is configured to route suspected attackers to the  
10 deception server instead of permitting the suspected attacker to access the real computer system or network.

The major shortcoming of prior art deception servers is that it is relatively easy for attackers to discover they are in a deception server. Among other things, prior art deception servers cannot make it appear to an attacker that the attacker has been allowed  
15 on the actual computer or computer network. In addition, deception servers have only a limited number of files, with the result that it is relatively easy to determine that a deception server does not contain the full array of files typically found in a true server, such as a typical business network computer server.

As a result, there is a need for a way to deceive attackers into believing they have  
20 gained access to a true computer system, without actually allowing them to gain access to

true files. In addition, there is a need for a way to monitor such attackers, without their knowing, to facilitate efforts to improve security measures and identify attackers.

004720" 6943T960

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, a system and method for preventing detection of a computer connection to an external device is disclosed.

It should be appreciated that the present invention can be implemented in numerous ways, including as a process, an apparatus, a system, a device, a method, or a computer readable medium such as a computer readable storage medium or a computer network wherein program instructions are sent over optical or electronic communication links. Several inventive embodiments of the present invention are described below.

In one embodiment, an external device is connected to a computer via a connectionless port. A key to be used to generate valid authorization information to be included in all valid data packets sent between the computer and the external device is provided. The external device is configured to reply to any packet in which the required valid authorization information is not present with the packet that would be sent if the connectionless port were not in use.

A system for preventing detection of a computer connection to an external device is disclosed. In one embodiment, the system comprises a computer having a connectionless port and an external device connected to the connectionless port. The external device is configured to reply to any packet received via the connection to the connectionless port in which valid authorization information is not present with the packet that would be sent if the connectionless port were not in use.

004720" 5919T960

A computer program product for preventing detection of a computer connection to an external device is disclosed. In one embodiment, the computer program product is embodied in a computer readable medium and comprises computer instructions for providing a key to be used to generate valid authorization information to be included in  
5 all valid data packets sent between the computer and the external device; and causing the external device to reply to any packet in which the required valid authorization information is not present with the packet that would be sent if the connectionless port were not in use.

These and other features and advantages of the present invention will be presented  
10 in more detail in the following detailed description and the accompanying figures, which illustrate by way of example the principles of the invention.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will be readily understood by the following detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein like reference numerals designate like structural elements, and in which:

5           Figure 1 is a block diagram of a general purpose computer system 100 suitable for carrying out the processing in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

          Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a system used in one embodiment to provide computer security.

10           Figure 3 is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to provide computer security using a trap system such as trap system 210 of Figure 2.

          Figure 4 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to install a trap system, as in step 302 of Figure 3.

15           Figure 5 is an exemplary administration console display 500 used in one embodiment to provide a graphical user interface on the administration console for configuration and control of the trap system.

          Figure 6 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to generate file content for the trap, as required, e.g., in step 304 of Figure 3.

          Figure 7 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to set the trap, as in step 306 of Figure 3.

004720 694960  
09616469 071400

Figure 8 is an illustration of a deception login screen 800 used in one embodiment to prompt an intruder who has been routed into the cage directory of the trap system to enter a login name.

Figure 9 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to keep an  
5 intruder in the trap, as in step 312 of Figure 3.

Figure 10 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to determine whether access to a particular file requested by an intruder is permitted, as in step 906 of Figure 9.

Figure 11A is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to  
10 monitor the activity of an intruder, as in step 314 of Figure 3.

Figure 11B is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to regenerate a virtual cage environment by using a product serial number as the seed for a pseudo random number generator.

Figure 11C is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to hide  
15 the connection between the administrative console and the trap host system by using a “connectionless” port, as discussed above in connection with step 1104 of Figure 11A.

Figure 12 is a schematic diagram of a system used in one embodiment to provide such a test environment.

Figure 13 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to provide a virtual test environment to test the effect of a configuration change prior to implementing the configuration change on the actual computer system.

5

00770" 6949T960

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A detailed description of a preferred embodiment of the invention is provided below. While the invention is described in conjunction with that preferred embodiment, it should be understood that the invention is not limited to any one embodiment. On the contrary, the scope of the invention is limited only by the appended claims and the invention encompasses numerous alternatives, modifications and equivalents. For the purpose of example, numerous specific details are set forth in the following description in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. The present invention may be practiced according to the claims without some or all of these specific details. For the purpose of clarity, technical material that is known in the technical fields related to the invention has not been described in detail so that the present invention is not unnecessarily obscured.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a general purpose computer system 100 suitable for carrying out the processing in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 1 illustrates one embodiment of a general purpose computer system. Other computer system architectures and configurations can be used for carrying out the processing of the present invention. Computer system 100, made up of various subsystems described below, includes at least one microprocessor subsystem (also referred to as a central processing unit, or CPU) 102. That is, CPU 102 can be implemented by a single-chip processor or by multiple processors. CPU 102 is a general purpose digital processor which controls the operation of the computer system 100.

Using instructions retrieved from memory 110, the CPU 102 controls the reception and manipulation of input data, and the output and display of data on output devices.

CPU 102 is coupled bi-directionally with memory 110 which can include a first primary storage, typically a random access memory (RAM), and a second primary storage area, typically a read-only memory (ROM). As is well known in the art, primary storage can be used as a general storage area and as scratch-pad memory, and can also be used to store input data and processed data. It can also store programming instructions and data, in the form of data objects and text objects, in addition to other data and instructions for processes operating on CPU 102. Also as well known in the art, primary storage typically includes basic operating instructions, program code, data and objects used by the CPU 102 to perform its functions. Primary storage devices 110 may include any suitable computer-readable storage media, described below, depending on whether, for example, data access needs to be bi-directional or uni-directional. CPU 102 can also directly and very rapidly retrieve and store frequently needed data in a cache memory (not shown).

A removable mass storage device 112 provides additional data storage capacity for the computer system 100, and is coupled either bi-directionally or uni-directionally to CPU 102. For example, a specific removable mass storage device commonly known as a CD-ROM typically passes data uni-directionally to the CPU 102, whereas a floppy disk can pass data bi-directionally to the CPU 102. Storage 112 may also include computer-readable media such as magnetic tape, flash memory, signals embodied on a carrier wave, PC-CARDS, portable mass storage devices, holographic storage devices, and other

storage devices. A fixed mass storage 120 can also provide additional data storage capacity. The most common example of mass storage 120 is a hard disk drive. Mass storage 112, 120 generally store additional programming instructions, data, and the like that typically are not in active use by the CPU 102. It will be appreciated that the  
5 information retained within mass storage 112, 120 may be incorporated, if needed, in standard fashion as part of primary storage 110 (e.g. RAM) as virtual memory.

In addition to providing CPU 102 access to storage subsystems, bus 114 can be used to provide access other subsystems and devices as well. In the described embodiment, these can include a display monitor 118, a network interface 116, a  
10 keyboard 104, and a pointing device 106, as well as an auxiliary input/output device interface, a sound card, speakers, and other subsystems as needed. The pointing device 106 may be a mouse, stylus, track ball, or tablet, and is useful for interacting with a graphical user interface.

The network interface 116 allows CPU 102 to be coupled to another computer,  
15 computer network, or telecommunications network using a network connection as shown. Through the network interface 116, it is contemplated that the CPU 102 might receive information, *e.g.*, data objects or program instructions, from another network, or might output information to another network in the course of performing the above-described method steps. Information, often represented as a sequence of instructions to be executed  
20 on a CPU, may be received from and outputted to another network, for example, in the form of a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave. An interface card or similar device and appropriate software implemented by CPU 102 can be used to connect the

computer system 100 to an external network and transfer data according to standard protocols. That is, method embodiments of the present invention may execute solely upon CPU 102, or may be performed across a network such as the Internet, intranet networks, or local area networks, in conjunction with a remote CPU that shares a portion  
5 of the processing. Additional mass storage devices (not shown) may also be connected to CPU 102 through network interface 116.

09616469-07440  
04720-694960

An auxiliary I/O device interface (not shown) can be used in conjunction with computer system 100. The auxiliary I/O device interface can include general and customized interfaces that allow the CPU 102 to send and, more typically, receive data  
10 from other devices such as microphones, touch-sensitive displays, transducer card readers, tape readers, voice or handwriting recognizers, biometrics readers, cameras, portable mass storage devices, and other computers.

In addition, embodiments of the present invention further relate to computer storage products with a computer readable medium that contain program code for  
15 performing various computer-implemented operations. The computer-readable medium is any data storage device that can store data which can thereafter be read by a computer system. The media and program code may be those specially designed and constructed for the purposes of the present invention, or they may be of the kind well known to those of ordinary skill in the computer software arts. Examples of computer-readable media  
20 include, but are not limited to, all the media mentioned above: magnetic media such as hard disks, floppy disks, and magnetic tape; optical media such as CD-ROM disks; magneto-optical media such as floptical disks; and specially configured hardware devices

such as application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), programmable logic devices (PLDs), and ROM and RAM devices. The computer-readable medium can also be distributed as a data signal embodied in a carrier wave over a network of coupled computer systems so that the computer-readable code is stored and executed in a distributed fashion. Examples of program code include both machine code, as produced, for example, by a compiler, or files containing higher level code that may be executed using an interpreter.

The computer system shown in Fig. 1 is but an example of a computer system suitable for use with the invention. Other computer systems suitable for use with the invention may include additional or fewer subsystems. In addition, bus 114 is illustrative of any interconnection scheme serving to link the subsystems. Other computer architectures having different configurations of subsystems may also be utilized.

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of a system used in one embodiment to provide computer security. The system includes a computer network 202 to which the operator of the computer network wishes to limit access to authorized users. Computer network 202 is comprised of a plurality of network devices 204. The plurality of network devices 204 may include, for example, individual computer work stations, network servers, printers, and any number of other devices such as may be found in a typical computer network, such as a local area network (LAN) or wide area network (WAN). Computer network 202 also includes a Internet access server 206 configured to enable users of host computer systems connected to the computer network 202 to access the Internet and in particular to access web pages via the World Wide Web by sending and receiving

hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP) transmissions. Computer network 202 also includes a firewall 208 interposed between Internet access server 206 and the network connection to the Internet. Firewall 208 may be either a firewall, or a router with firewall functionality, configured to route authorized users to Internet access server 206 and to detect and route  
5 unauthorized users to the trap system described below.

The system shown in Figure 2 also includes a trap system 210. Trap system 210 is comprised of a trap host system 212 in which a virtual cage 214 is established, as described below. Trap system 210 also includes an administration console 216 connected to trap host system 212 and configured to enable a system administrator (or other  
10 authorized user) to control the configuration of trap host system 212 and virtual cage 214. Trap system 210 also includes a database 218 used to store data relating to activities within trap host system 212 and virtual cage 214.

The system shown in Figure 2 is designed to protect the computer network 202 from being accessed or otherwise compromised by an intruder who is attempting to gain  
15 access to computer network 202 via the Internet. Figure 2 shows an exemplary intruder's system 220 such as might be used by a would-be intruder to attempt to gain access to the computer network 202 via the Internet.

Figure 3 is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to provide computer security using a trap system such as trap system 210 of Figure 2. The process  
20 begins with step 302 in which a trap system such as trap system 210 of Figure 2 is installed. In step 304, the file content for a deception environment to be presented to

would-be intruders is created. Examples of the content of the deception environment include fictitious content generated automatically as described below; non-confidential (i.e., public) files drawn from the computer network being protected, such as computer network 202 of Figure 2; or a combination of fictitious and non-confidential file content.

5 In step 306, a trap is established within the trap system. For example, a virtual cage such as virtual cage 214, shown in Figure 2 may be established within a trap host system, such as trap host system 212 of Figure 2, by establishing a file directory for the cage and copying the operating system of the trap host system -- but not the  
10 modifications and additions to the operating system described below that function to monitor the intruder's actions, keep the intruder in the cage, and prevent the intruder from detecting that the intruder is in the cage -- and the file system of the trap host system into the directory.

In step 308, a would-be intruder is detected, as described more fully below. In step 310, the would-be intruder is routed into the trap system, such as trap system 210 of  
15 Figure 2, as described more fully below. Once the intruder has been routed into the trap, in step 312 affirmative efforts can be made to ensure that the intruder does not break out of the trap system and gain access to the portions of computer network 202 that are being protected from unauthorized access. In step 314, the activity of the intruder within the trap system is monitored, as described more fully below.

20 Once the activity of the intruder has ceased, either because the intruder has discontinued the attempt to access computer network 202 or because the system

attorney docket no. RECOP004

05616469-071400

administrator has terminated the intruder's connection with the system, it is determined in step 316 whether the changes to the configuration to the trap system that were made by the intruder during the attack will be kept in place. For example, a system administrator might wish to leave changes made by an intruder in place if the system administrator believes the same intruder may attempt a future attack and might realize that he or she has been routed into a deception environment, as opposed to gaining access to the true computer network, if the changes made by the intruder in the prior attack were not still present. If it is determined in step 316 that the changes will be kept, the process shown in Figure 3 ends and the trap remains in place, as modified by the intruder, unless or until a future intruder is routed into the trap or the trap is reset. If it is determined in step 316 that the changes made by a particular intruder will not be kept, the process proceeds to step 318 in which the trap is reset to eliminate the changes made by the intruder. In one embodiment, the trap is reset by regenerating the trap to restore the trap environment to the condition it was in at the time the intruder was first routed into the trap. In one embodiment, additional content is added when the trap is regenerated to make it appear that additional content was created by users of the computer network during the time period from the last update of the trap to the time the trap was reset.

Sub  
a2

~~Figure 4 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to install a~~  
trap system, as in step 302 of Figure 3. The process begins with step 402 in which a trap host system is installed. In one embodiment, the trap host system is a computer, such as an Intel or SPARC computer, running the Solaris 7 operating system. In one embodiment, application programs that the user of the trap system wishes to have appear

in the deception environment may be installed in the trap host system prior to the installation of the trap system software and the establishment of the virtual cage environment into which the operating system and file system of the trap host system will be copied. In one embodiment, probabilistic data combined with random number data  
5 from a pseudo random number generator are used to determine which application programs will appear in the deception environment. In one embodiment, the nature of the business or other organization that uses the computer network influences which application programs are selected. For example, a financial institution may have different application programs, and different types of files, than a law firm.

Sub 10 a3  
In step 404, an administration console, such as administration console 216 of Figure 2, is installed. The administration console is a second computer system connected to the trap host system. The administration console is used to configure and control the operation of the trap host system. In addition, the administration console receives logging information from the trap host system concerning the activities of the intruder  
15 within the trap host system. In one embodiment, administration console 216 is a computer system running either a UNIX or a Windows operating system. The administration console uses its connection to the trap host system to retrieve log and configuration information for the purpose of displaying the information to the system administrator.

20 In step 406, the trap host system is configured. As noted above, the administration console 216 is used to select configuration options for the trap software, once the trap software has been installed in the trap host system. In one embodiment,

upon installation, the trap software automatically configures the trap host system in accordance with the preferences selected by the system administrator or other authorized user of the system by means of the administration console and randomly generated variations in certain system settings, as described more fully below.

Sub  
Fig 5

004T20" 6949T960

10

15

~~The process shown in Figure 4 continues with step 408 in which a network connection is made between the trap system and the router or firewall used in the computer network being protected to detect and route would-be intruders into the trap system. In one embodiment, network connections are made between the trap host system and the router or firewall for all or selected ones of the remote access services that an intruder might use to attempt to gain unauthorized access to, or control over, a target computer or computer network. In one embodiment, the trap host system operating system is the Solaris 7 operating system and the remote access services for which a network connection is established include FTP (file transfer protocol), telnet, and/or other services considered to be in the so-called "demilitarized zone", or "DMZ", of the network being protected.~~

20

In step 410, the policy editor of the router or firewall, which is typically provided as part of the software associated with a router or firewall, is used to establish policies which will route likely intruders to the trap host system. Such policies may include, where supported by the particular router or firewall being used, a policy that attempts to gain access to the computer network via a port or service not normally used by the computer network, but known to be exploited by hackers and other intruders to gain access to computer networks, such as the FTP and telnet ports, for example, can be routed

to the corresponding port of the trap host system. In one embodiment, a would-be intruder is permitted to see the devices behind the router or firewall. If the would-be intruder seeks to gain access to the virtual cage environment, which can be configured to appear to be an interesting and easy target for intrusion (e.g. because services that are known to be exploitable to gain unauthorized access or control, such as FTP and telnet, will be available), the router or firewall can be configured in step 410 to route the intruder to the appropriate port of the trap host system using well known network address translation (NAT) techniques. In one embodiment, a would-be intruder cannot see the devices behind the router or firewall and any attempt to access a prohibited service on any network system is routed instead to the trap host system using NAT.

Figure 5 is an exemplary administration console display 500 used in one embodiment to provide a graphical user interface on the administration console for configuration and control of the trap system. The administration console display 500 includes a menu display area 502 in which menu choices are displayed. As shown in Figure 5, in one embodiment, the major headings "General", "Decoy User Names", "Logging", "Alerting", and "Advanced" are displayed in menu display area 502. In one embodiment, selection of a major menu listing results in the subheadings under that menu listing being displayed. Display 500 also includes an instruction display area 504 in which instructions relating to the current menu selection are displayed. Display 500 also includes an input area 506 in which the system administrator or other user either enters data or selects an option from a pick list to provide input with respect to the current menu selection.

In one embodiment, the "General" menu provides options for entering the name of the company using the trap system; entering a license key or serial number for the system; entering a host name to be used in the contents created for the deception environment to identify the host associated with certain content; and to designate a domain name to be used for similar purposes, such as to be included as the domain name for Internet e-mail addresses for the fictitious and other user names used in the e-mail messages generated to be included in the deception environment. In one embodiment, the menu selection "Decoy User Name" enables the system administrator to provide the full name and a login or user name for from one to five individuals. Such an option may be used to provide the name of from one to five prominent and publicly-known individuals associated with the computer system being protected, such as the chief executive officer and/or president of the company that uses the system.

In one embodiment, the menu option labeled "Logging" includes options that enable the system administrator to route logging information from the trap system to a remote logging device, such as by providing the DNS name or IP address of the remote logging server. In addition, the "Logging" menu in one embodiment includes an option to either enable remote logging, as described above, or to disable remote logging and to have the log information spooled only to the trap host system. Finally, the "Logging" menu option in one embodiment includes an option that permits the system administrator to designate the name of the network interface used to gather information on an intruder's network activity, for example for use in later tracing the source of an intruder's attack.

004720 694950

In one embodiment the menu heading "Alerting" provides options for controlling the manner in which alerts regarding intruder activity is provided and the criteria used to determine when such an alert should be sent. The purpose of such an alert is to advise the system administrator that an intruder has gained a certain level of access to or control over the trap system. Providing such an alert enables the system administrator to more closely monitor the intruder and, if necessary, to cut off the intruder's connection to the system. The degree to which an intruder has gained unauthorized access or control is sometimes referred to as the extent to which the security of the system or network has been compromised by the intruder. In one embodiment, the options under the menu heading "Alerting" include the options to designate an e-mail address to be used to provide alerts, a fictitious subject line to be used in such e-mail messages, and an option for selecting an alert threshold.

For example, in one embodiment, one of five alert thresholds may be selected. The lowest threshold provides that no e-mail alert messages will be sent regardless of the type or severity of the compromise achieved by the intruder. A somewhat higher threshold provides for an e-mail alert message to be sent if the trap host computer system experiences a fatal error, for example if the host runs out of disk space. The next higher level provides for an e-mail alert message to be sent in a clear case of compromise such as if a new process has started within the virtual cage environment in the trap host system. The next somewhat higher level of alert provides for an e-mail alert message to be sent in situations that indicate a possible security compromise, such as if multiple port connections are opened by an intruder in an attempt to determine which processes are

currently running on the host system. The most sensitive and final level of alert provides for an e-mail alert message to be sent whenever the virtual cage environment experiences any traffic, regardless of type. At this heightened level, alert messages may be generated based on intruder activity within the cage environment even in cases where there is no information indicating that the cage has been compromised or is in risk of being compromised.

Finally, the menu heading "Advanced" in one embodiment provides options for customizing the file content for the virtual cage environment and for making more complex configuration changes, to accomplish such goals as optimizing system performance or to otherwise tailor the trap system to the specific needs of a particular user.

Referring further to Figure 5, the administration console display 500 also includes a back button 508 and a next button 510 used to navigate back to the previous menu option or forward to the next menu option, respectively. The display 500 also includes a revert button 512 used to cancel a configuration change entered at the administration console and revert to the configuration settings that were in place prior to any changes being made. Display 500 also includes an update button 514 used to update a file maintained locally at the administration console to store configuration changes entered at the administration console but not yet applied to the trap host system. Display 500 also includes an apply button 516 used to apply configuration changes entered at the administration console to the trap host system. Finally, display 500 includes a reboot button 518, which causes the trap host system to reboot. In one embodiment, it is

necessary to reboot the trap host system in order for configuration changes to be implemented in the trap host system.

Figure 6 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to generate file content for the trap, as required, e.g., in step 304 of Figure 3. The process begins with step 602 in which operating system settings are generated automatically for the operating system installed in the trap host system. Operating system settings are generated automatically, with random variations included, to avoid having the same operating system configuration for each trap system. If such variations were not introduced, would-be intruders might be able to recognize that a system is a trap system provided by a particular manufacturer based on the presence of a standard operating system configuration used by the manufacturer.

Next, in step 604, information is generated automatically concerning the hardware installed on the trap host system, the configuration of such hardware, and other information concerning the configuration of the trap host system.

The process continues with step 606 in which selected real data and files are received and loaded. Any selected real files to be made available in the trap system, such as publicly-available documents or information, are stored in the file system or the trap host system. Real data to be used to fill in document templates, such as the names of key employees or other publicly-known individuals, are stored in the applicable database.

Then, in step 608, a database of fictitious names to be used in automatically generated e-mail and other documents is generated. A unique key or serial number

provided with each copy of the software for the trap system serves in one embodiment as the seed for a pseudo random number generator. Numbers from the pseudo random number generator are used in conjunction with probabilistic data concerning the occurrence of first and last names from a database of names to generate a list of fictitious user names to be used to generate file content for a particular trap system.

The process continues with step 610 in which fictitious file content, such as fictitious e-mail, word processing document, spreadsheet, and other file content, is generated. In one embodiment, e-mail and other document templates are provided which require data values such as dates, names, product names, and other types of information to be inserted. Random numbers from a pseudo random number generator and probabilistic data are used to select a set of file templates to be used for the file content of a particular trap system. The set of templates to be used for any given system will be unique because the pseudo random number generator uses the unique product serial number or key for each particular system as the seed for the pseudo random number generator. Once the set of templates has been selected, the data values for each of the inputs required by each template are provided by using the pseudo random number generator and probabilistic data to select values from various databases of possible values provided for each type of input required by the templates.

An exemplary e-mail template used in one embodiment for generating an e-mail message announcing a meeting for a project identified by a code name follows:

&MEETING: 10  
To: @EMPLOYEE

Subject: Meeting re @PROJECT

5 The meeting re @PROJECT will take place on @DAY, @MONTH @1TO28, at @TIME. The meeting will be held in @NAME=1's office. Coffee and rolls will be served. Please RSVP to @NAME=2 NLT (@DAY-1).

10 In the above exemplary template, the entry "&MEETING: 10" indicates that the template is a meeting announcement template with a relative probability of occurrence of 10. The relative probability of occurrence is a weight value for the template, which is based on studies of actual file systems found in a typical network server. The sum of all of the relative probability values for all templates appears at the top of the template file, and the relative likelihood that the above particular template will be selected at random from among the entire body of templates is determined by dividing the weight for the template, 10, by the sum of all of the weights. For example, if the sum of all of the weights were 1,000, the probability of the above template being selected would be 10/1,000. By comparison, a product launch announcement template might have a weight of only 1. The probability of such a template being selected would be 1/1,000, or about one tenth that of the above template. This would indicate that a product launch announcement e-mail would be one tenth as likely as a meeting announcement e-mail to be found in a typical network server. As described above, in one embodiment the selection of a set of templates for the initial file content for the trap file system would be based on the probability weight values and numbers generated by a pseudo random number generator.

25 The values of the variables @EMPLOYEE, @PROJECT, @DAY, @MONTH, @1TO28, @TIME, @NAME=1, and @NAME=2 in the above exemplary template are

004720" 6949T960

selected in one embodiment from corresponding files comprising possible values and a corresponding probability weight for each possible value. A number generated by a pseudo random number generator is used, in combination with the probability weights, to select the specific value for a particular instance. For example, the value of the variable  
5 @EMPLOYEE is selected at random from a file comprising names of fictitious employees and associated data, such as network usernames, e-mail addresses, and host system identification information. In one embodiment, the variable @EMPLOYEE is replaced with the e-mail address of from one to ten fictitious employees (and other information required for a file comprising an e-mail to the employee(s)), with the precise  
10 number of recipients being determined at random. In a similar manner, a day of the week would be selected as the value of the variable @DAY, a month for the variable @MONTH, a number from 1 to 28 for the variable @1TO28, and a time (e.g., at half hour increments during business hours) for the variable @TIME, would be chosen at random from corresponding files of possible values.

15 A similar technique may be used to select values for the variables @NAME=1 and @NAME=2 from a file containing the fictitious user names, created as described above. The annotations "=1" and "=2" indicate that a different name should be selected for each variable.

For certain types of variables, probabilities of occurrence would be considered in  
20 one embodiment in selecting the value. For example, the value for the variable @PROJECT is selected in one embodiment from a file such as the following:

5       @PROJECT: 90  
      10: SPEAR  
      20: WIN  
      20: SPEED  
      10: NORMANDY  
      10: STORM  
      20: VICTORY

10       In the above file, the entry “@PROJECT: 90” identifies the files as containing  
possible values for the variable @PROJECT and indicates the sum of the probability  
weights for the possible values is 90. (In one embodiment, if the relative probability of  
occurrence of each value were the same, the number after the colon would be the total  
number of possible values in the file and the relative weight of each value would be  
assumed to be 1.) Each of the remaining entries in the file comprises a probability weight  
15       followed by a possible value. For example, the entry “10: SPEAR” has a probability  
weight of 10 and a value of “SPEAR”. The weight indicates the value SPEAR has a 10  
in 90 (i.e., one in nine) probability of occurrence. The value chosen for a particular  
instance of a template is selected using a number generated by a pseudo random number  
generator and the probabilistic data.

20       In one embodiment, spelling, grammatical, and typographical errors are  
introduced into at least certain portions of the generated file content. Probabilistic data  
concerning the occurrence of such errors and a pseudo random number generator are used  
to determine the nature and location of the errors that are introduced.

25       In one embodiment, additional file content is generated, in the manner described  
above, at random intervals after the initial set of file content has been generated. In one  
embodiment, a pseudo random number generator is used to determine the intervals at

004709469-071400

which additional file content is generated. In one embodiment, file content is generated at more frequent intervals during certain times of the day, such as business hours, than during other times of the day. Additional file content is generated over time in order to provide a more realistic deception environment. For example, if an intruder accesses the trap system on one occasion and later returns to access the trap system in the future, the intruder may become suspicious if no additional file content has been generated in the file system since the initial attack. In addition, even if an intruder only accesses the file system on one occasion, the intruder may become suspicious if the system has been installed for a considerable period of time and no additional file content has been generated since the time of installation.

Figure 7 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to set the trap, as in step 306 of Figure 3. The process begins with step 702 in which a cage is established within the trap host system. In one embodiment, this is accomplished by creating within the file system of the trap host system a new directory to contain the file structure for the cage.

In step 704, the operating system of the trap host system is copied into the cage directory. As described more fully below, the interface to the operating system kernel is modified to monitor the intruder's actions (e.g., by generating log data regarding an intruders activities), keep the intruder in the cage, and prevent the intruder from detecting that the intruder is in the cage. The files and programs that perform these latter functions are not copied into the cage. In step 706, the file system of the trap host system is copied into the cage directory.

09616469 "071400  
004T20" 5949T960

By copying the operating system of the trap host system and the file system of the trap host system into the cage directory, it becomes easier to route an intruder into the cage directory and present to the intruder a deception environment that leads the intruder to believe that the intruder has successfully gained access to the operating system and file system of the computer the intruder is targeting. From time to time, additional file content is generated and added to the copy of the file system in the cage directory, as described above, to provide a more realistic deception environment.

Once an intruder has been detected and routed into the cage directory of the trap host system, a deception environment is presented to the intruder. The intruder interacts with the instance of the operating system running in the virtual cage environment. Figure 8 is an illustration of a deception login screen 800 used in one embodiment to prompt an intruder who has been routed into the cage directory of the trap system to enter a login name. In one embodiment, the trap host system is configured to make it relatively easy for an intruder to obtain a login or user name and the corresponding password that will enable the intruder to gain access to the trap system using well-known hacking techniques.

Sub  
a9  
Figure 9 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to keep an intruder in the trap, as in step 312 of Figure 3. The process begins with step 902 in which a request to access a file within the cage directory is received from the intruder. In one embodiment, a software module is provided to serve as a filter between requests made by an intruder to access a file, on the one hand, and the copy of the file system contained in the cage directory of the trap system, on the other hand. Such filtering software is used to

prevent the intruder from accessing files that might enable the intruder to discovery that  
~~the intruder is in a trap system, and not an actual system, as described more fully below.~~

In step 904, the filtering software sends log information to the user-specified destination for logging data concerning activities of intruders.

5 The process continues with step 906 in which it is determined whether the intruder is permitted to access the particular file the intruder has requested. In one embodiment, the filtering software referred to above, and described more fully below, makes this determination. If it is determined in step 906 that the intruder is not permitted to access the requested file, the process proceeds to step 908 in which an indication is  
10 provided to the intruder that the requested file does not exist. If it is determined in step 906 that the intruder is authorized to access the requested file, the process proceeds to step 910 in which the intruder is provided access to the copy of the requested file contained within the cage directory in the trap system.

Sub  
25  
15 ~~Figure 10 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to determine whether access to a particular file requested by an intruder is permitted, as in step 906 of Figure 9. The process begins at step 1002 in which it is determined whether the intruder is attempting to request a file that is at a level within the trap host system file structure that is above the highest level of the cage file structure, i.e., above the directory created to hold the file structure and operating system for the cage. For example, in one  
20 embodiment, the trap host system operating system is Solaris 7™. In the Solaris 7 operating system, the command “/..../proc”, for example may be used to gain access to the~~

0044071691690  
Sub  
a6

directory level above the file "proc", which would normally be in the highest level of the file structure for a system such as the trap host system. If an intruder were able to use this command to move above the "proc" file in the cage directory (which is a copy of the proc file of the trap host system copied into the cage directory), the intruder likely would realize that the intruder has been contained within the cage directory and, once the intruder has broken out of the cage directory, the intruder is much more likely to be able to compromise the trap host system. In one embodiment, the "../proc" command or similar commands that might be used to access a level of the trap host system file structure that is above the highest level of the cage file structure are filtered by a software module which recognizes such commands, prevents them from being executed, and provides an indication (as in step 1002) that an attempt is being made to move above the highest level of the cage file structure.

If it is determined in step 1002 that an attempt is being made to move above the highest level of the cage file structure, the process proceeds to step 1004 in which access to the requested file structure level is denied and an indication is provided to the intruder that the requested file does not exist, in accordance with step 908 of Figure 9. If it is determined in step 1002 that an attempt is not being made to move above the highest level of the cage file structure, the process proceeds to step 1006 in which it is determined whether the intruder is making an attempt to access a blocked network data file. For example, in the Solaris 7 operating system, all network devices have a major and minor number associated with them. It is known in the art of computer security and the art of computer hacking that files associated with certain device numbers are

09616469 " 071400

Sub  
Q7

5 susceptible to being used to gain unauthorized access to or control over a target computer system. For example, in one embodiment the trap host system uses the Solaris 7 operating system for which the device files for devices that have a major number 7 and a minor number in the range of 0-7, or devices that have a major number 11 and a minor number 7, may be exploited by an intruder to gain an unauthorized level of access to or control over a target computer system. As a result, in one embodiment, it is determined in step 1006 whether the intruder is attempting to access the device files associated with a device having a major and minor number in one of the ranges listed above.

10 ~~If it is determined in step 1006 that an attempt is being made to access a blocked network data file, the process proceeds to step 1008 in which access to the requested file is denied, and an indication is provided that the file does not exist in accordance with step 908 of Figure 9. If it is determined in step 1006 that an attempt to access a blocked network data file is not being made, the process proceeds to step 1010 in which it is determined whether an attempt is being made to access a process file for a process~~

15 running outside of the virtual cage environment. Each computer operating system provides a way to monitor the processes or tasks currently being performed by the host system. In the Solaris 7 operating system, for example, a process table is provided in a file contained within the operating system's virtual file system. The process table is accessed by entering a file name in the directory "/proc". In one embodiment, a software

20 module is used to filter access to the "proc" file to limit an intruder's access to files associated with processes running within the cage environment and to prevent access to processes running on the trap host system outside of the virtual cage.

If it is determined in step 1010 that an attempt is being made to access a process file for a process running outside of the cage environment, the process of Figure 10 proceeds to step 1012 in which access to the requested file is denied, and an indication is provided that the file does not exist in accordance with step 908 of Figure 9. If it is  
5 determined in step 1010 that an attempt is not being made to access a process file for a process running outside of the cage environment, the process proceeds to step 1014 in which access to the requested file is permitted in accordance with step 910 of Figure 9.

In one embodiment, at least one of the steps of the process illustrated in Figure 10 is implemented by replacing one or more operating system functions in the system entry  
10 (or "sysent") table with a new program designed to perform the above-described filtering function. In one embodiment, the new program returns the output of the original operating system function if access to a requested file (or process) is permitted (i.e., the file or process is within the virtual cage) and returns an indication that the file (or process) does not exist, if the file (or process) is not inside the cage. In one embodiment,  
15 a similar approach is used to modify the function that responds to system calls such as "kill", in order to permit intruders to terminate only processes running inside the cage.

Figure 11A is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to monitor the activity of an intruder, as in step 314 of Figure 3. The process begins at step  
1102 in which a log of the intruder's actions is maintained. In one embodiment, the  
20 software modules used to filter requests to access various types of files send information concerning each request by the intruder to access a file to a log file used to store information concerning the files requested by an intruder. In one embodiment, the trap

system can be configured to log either each command entered by an intruder or to log each keystroke entered by the intruder. In addition to information concerning the intruder's actions sent by the filtering software modules described above, information concerning the processes running within the virtual cage environment and what specific tasks each process is performing is available from the existing process file system (/proc) and is logged along with the log information derived from the filtering software modules.

As noted above, the intruder is prevented from becoming aware of the monitoring and logging processes by operation of the software module that filters the intruder's requests to access files within the process file system to prevent access to files relating to the monitoring and logging processes.

The process shown in Figure 11A also includes a step 1104 in which log information is made available to the system administrator or other user of the trap system at a graphical user interface (GUI) presented at a control station such as administration console 216 of Figure 2. This enables a system administrator or other user of the trap system either to perform an analysis of an intruder's actions subsequent to an attack or to monitor the actions of an intruder in real time, so as to be in a position, for example, to terminate the connection of the intruder to the trap host system if there is a risk the intruder may gain access to files outside of the virtual cage environment. In one embodiment, the connection of the administration console or other control system providing a graphical user interface for the trap system is hidden from detection by an intruder by use of a so-called "connectionless" port to provide for the exchange of

information between the administration console and the trap host system, as described more fully below in connection with Figure 11C.

The process illustrated in Figure 11A also includes step 1106 in which it is determined whether the alert conditions established at the time the trap system was configured have been met. For example, in one embodiment, as described above, the “normal” level of alert conditions provides for the trap system to send an alert e-mail in a situation that indicates a possible security compromise, for example if multiple port connections are open, which may indicate that an intruder is attempting to determine which processes are currently running on the host system. As described above, a more sensitive level of alert may be established in which an alert e-mail message would be sent whenever the virtual cage environment experiences any activity, regardless of the type.

If it is determined in step 1106 that the alert conditions have not been met, the process proceeds to step 1108 in which the monitoring and logging of the intruder’s activities continues until the intruder leaves the system. If it is determined in step 1106 that the alert conditions have been met, the process proceeds to step 1110 in which an alert is sent to the system administrator (or other designated user). In one embodiment, the alert is an e-mail message sent to the system administrator. In one embodiment, a subject line provided as part of the system configuration process is used to identify the nature of the message to an authorized individual who sees the subject line. If an alert has been sent in step 1110, the process continues with step 1112 in which the monitoring and logging of the intruder’s activities continues either until the intruder voluntarily leaves the system or until the intruder’s connection to the system is terminated by the

system administrator, for example by regenerating the virtual cage environment, rebooting the trap host system, or changing the firewall rule set to no longer permit the intruder to access the trap host system.

The automatically logged information can be used to analyze the strategies and techniques used by the intruder to gain access to and attempt to gain control of the system. In one embodiment, another approach used to evaluate the activities of an intruder once an intruder has exited the system is to make a copy of the file system of the virtual cage environment and then to regenerate the virtual cage environment, as described above, and compare the regenerated virtual cage environment, which will not have any of the changes made by the intruder, with the copy of the virtual cage environment as modified by the activities of the intruder.

In one embodiment, a unique key is used to seed the pseudo random number generator used to generate content for the file system, as described above. In one embodiment, the key is the serial number of the copy of the trap software provided for a particular installation. Using a unique key to seed the pseudo random number generator ensures that the content of each trap system installed will be unique. The use of the same key to seed the pseudo random number generator each time the virtual cage environment for a particular installation is regenerated results in the same content being created each time the cage is regenerated. As a result, a returning intruder will see all of the same file content that was in the cage during the intruder's previous attack, even if the cage has been regenerated. If the changes made by the intruder during a prior attack were kept (i.e., the cage was not regenerated), the intruder will see the effects of the intruder's

previous attack in the virtual cage environment. If the cage has been regenerated since a prior attack, the file system will contain the same file content the intruder saw during the previous attack, but will not contain changes made or caused by the intruder's activities.

This is the same environment an intruder would expect to see if the system had been  
5 reconstructed, such as from back-up tapes. In either event, the intruder sees a sufficiently familiar environment that the intruder likely will continue to be deceived.

Figure 11B is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to regenerate a virtual cage environment by using a product serial number as the seed for a pseudo random number generator. The process begins with step 1120 in which a product  
10 serial number is received. In step 1122, the product serial number is used as the seed for a pseudo random number generator used to generate file content for the virtual cage environment, as described above. In step 1124, it is determined whether a command to regenerate the trap has been received. If a request to regenerate the trap has not been received, the process ends. If a request to regenerate the trap has been received, the  
15 process returns to step 1122 in which the product serial number is used once again as the seed for the pseudo random number generator used to generate file content for the virtual cage environment.

Figure 11C is a flow chart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to hide  
the connection between the administrative console and the trap host system by using a  
20 "connectionless" port, as discussed above in connection with step 1104 of Figure 11A.

004720" 6949T960

A typical way to connect such an administration console to a system such as the trap host system would be to use a connection that employs transmission control protocol (TCP), in which many packets of information are assembled together to appear as a uniform stream of information exchanged between the administration console and the trap host system. The shortcoming of this approach in the context of a system such as the trap system described herein is that an intruder would be able to see a connection that uses TCP as a continuously live connection to the trap host system. An intruder may become suspicious if the intruder can see that such a live connection exists.

In one embodiment, this shortcoming is avoided by employing a user datagram protocol (UDP) connection to connect the administration console to the trap host system. Unlike a TCP connection, a UDP connection does not result in many packets of data being assembled and transmitted as a uniform stream of information. Instead, each packet of information is sent with a hashed message authentication code (HMAC) used to identify the packet as having originated from an authorized source. Each packet is accepted at the receiving end if the required HMAC is present in the packet. In one embodiment, if the required HMAC is not present in a packet, the administration console replies with the Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) packet that would be sent if the port were not in use.

Unlike TCP, UDP does not require a communication channel to be established and maintained between the administration console and the trap host system in order for data to be exchanged between the two systems. When an authorized user logs into the administration console to view logging information, the user enters a password and the

administration console generates a key that will be used to determine the HMAC that is required to be included in a valid transmission to the trap host system. Data packets sent by the trap host system to the administration console that contain the required HMAC will be accepted and acted on by the administration console system. If an intruder, on the other hand, sends a packet to the administration console via the UDP port in an attempt to determine if the trap host system is communicating with a device connected to the port (i.e., software is bound to the port), the administration console will see that the required HMAC is not present and will reply with the packet that would be sent if the port were not in use, as described above. As a result, the intruder will be led to believe that the port is not in use.

The process shown in Figure 11C begins with step 1140, in which a user name and password are received at the administration console. In step 1142, a key for the session is provided. In one embodiment, the key is randomly generated. In one embodiment, the key is derived from the password. In step 1144, a message is received at the administration console via the connection to the trap host system. In step 1146, it is determined whether the incoming message contains the required HMAC.

If it is determined in step 1146 that the incoming message does not contain the required HMAC, the process proceeds to step 1148 in which the ICMP packet that would be provided if the port of the trap host system to which the administration console is connected were not in use is sent in response to the incoming message. If it is determined in step 1146 that the incoming message does contain the required HMAC, the process continues with step 1150, in which the incoming message is accepted by the

administration console and the administration console takes appropriate responsive action, for example by responding to a command or query from the trap host system.

In step 1152, it is determined whether the session has ended, for example by determining whether the user has logged out of the administration console. If it is  
5 determined in step 1152 that the session has ended, the process ends. If it is determined in step 1152 that the session has not ended, the process returns to step 1144 in which the next incoming message, if any, is received.

In addition to providing computer security, the system and methods described herein may also be used for other purposes. For example, in one embodiment the  
10 techniques described above are used to provide a test environment to test the impact of a configuration change on a computer system without placing the actual files and data stored on the computer system at risk. Figure 12 is a schematic diagram of a system used in one embodiment to provide such a test environment. The system 1200 includes a  
15 network server 1202 in which a virtual test environment 1204 is established in the same manner as the virtual cage environment described above. One or more network devices 1206 are connected to the network server 1202 by means of a network bus 1208. A remote system 1210 is configured to connect to network server 1202 by means of the Internet. An administration console 1212 is connected to the network server 1202 to be used to configure the network server and test environment, and to monitor activities in  
20 the test environment, similar to the administration console in the above-described security embodiment.

Figure 13 is a flowchart illustrating a process used in one embodiment to provide a virtual test environment to test the effect of a configuration change prior to implementing the configuration change on the actual computer system. The process begins with step 1302 in which the software for providing the virtual environment is installed in the server or other computer system in which the configuration change is to be made. Next, in step 1304, a virtual test environment is established in the same manner as described above for establishing a cage environment in the trap host system in a security embodiment. Specifically, a test environment directory is established and the network server operating system and file system are copied into the virtual test environment.

Then, in step 1306, the contemplated change in configuration of the network server is implemented only in the test environment. For example, the configuration change may be the installation of a new software application. Alternatively, the configuration change may be the installation of a new network device on the network bus, or the connection of a new remote system via the Internet or some other means of remote access to the network server.

Next, in step 1308, the server is operated with the configuration change having been implemented in the test environment.

In step 1310, data concerning the operations of the server within the test environment is logged. In one embodiment, data concerning the processes running on the server, and in particular processes running within the virtual test environment, is provided

by the operating system kernel and sent to the administration console for storage in the database.

In step 1312, logged data is analyzed to determine the effect of the configuration change on the virtual test environment. In one embodiment, a copy of the virtual test environment is made and then the virtual test environment is regenerated to restore the virtual test environment to the condition it was in before the configuration change was made. Then, the copy of the virtual test environment as modified by the configuration change is compared to the regenerated virtual test environment to analyze all of the effects of the configuration change.

The process continues with step 1314 in which it is determined whether the configuration change created any problems in the configuration or operation of the server within the virtual test environment. If the configuration change did create a problem, the process proceeds to step 1316 in which the configuration change is reversed and the server is restored to the condition it was in prior to the configuration change. If it is determined in step 1314 that the configuration change did not result in any problem in the virtual test environment, the process proceeds to step 1318, in which the configuration change is implemented in the server outside of the virtual test environment and the server is operated normally with the configuration change implemented.

Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be apparent that certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims. It should be noted that there are

many alternative ways of implementing both the process and apparatus of the present invention. Accordingly, the present embodiments are to be considered as illustrative and not restrictive, and the invention is not to be limited to the details given herein, but may be modified within the scope and equivalents of the appended claims.

5           WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

004T20" 69497960